

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,887	04/06/2001	Charles D. Claude	ACSC-60087	5563
GUNTHER O	7590 06/01/2007 HANKE, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
FULWIDER, P	ATTON, LEE & UTECH	AHMED, SHEEBA		
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR HOWARD HUGHES CENTER LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			MAII DATE	DEL WERV MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

i -							
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/827,88	37	CLAUDE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Sheeba Al	hmed	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or to reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. tory period will apply and wi I, by statute, cause the appl	HIS COMMUNICATION onto however, may a reply be selected in the selected state of the sel	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1/25/07</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	Γhis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 33-36 and 38-41 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>33-36 and 38-41</u> is/are rejected.						
•	—						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.	•			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage			
Attachmer				(070,440)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0	O-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 09/827,887

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 25, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Amendments to claims 33 and 35 have been entered in the above-identified application. Claims 1-32, 37, and 42-48 are cancelled. Claims 33-36 and 38-41 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 33-36 and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Application/Control Number: 09/827,887

Art Unit: 1773

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claim 33 now recites that the plasma-polymerized film has a thickness which is about 10 to about 150 nm and which is not fused with the material of the first layer. The limitation that the plasma-polymerized film "is not fused with the material of the first layer" is newly added and recites a negative limitation.

Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion. The Examiner was unable to find any support for such a negative limitation. Furthermore, the Applicants have failed to point to any specific support for such a limitation. Claims 34-36 and 38-41 are dependent on independent claim 33 and hence contain the newly added negative limitation.

Applicants must cancel the new matter in response to this Office Action and are reminded that any previously applied prior art rejection may be reinstated upon cancellation of the new matter.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-36 and 38-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,887

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am to 2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sheeba Ahmed

Art Unit 1773 April 18, 2007